UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,395	09/29/2005	Noelle Callizot	1386/22	3252
25297 7590 04/08/2009 JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.			EXAMINER	
Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER			ROYDS, LESLIE A	
3100 TOWER BLVD., DURHAM, NC 27707			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/551,395	CALLIZOT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LESLIE A. ROYDS	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 So	entember 2005					
<i>i</i> —	<del>/</del>					
, <del></del>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 13-18 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claims 13-18 are presented for examination.

Claims 1-12 were cancelled pursuant to the Preliminary Amendment dated September 29, 2005.

## Requirement for Election/Restriction

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are compounds of formula (I) as set forth in instant claim 13.

The following claims are generic to these species: claims 13-14 and 16-18.

Applicant is required to elect a <u>single disclosed specie</u> of compound of formula (I) from those specifically claimed in instant claim 13.

Applicant is also notified that, <u>in order for the reply to be considered compliant with the instructions set forth herein</u>, the identity of each substituent (e.g., R1, R2, R3, R4, etc.) within the elected single disclosed specie <u>MUST</u> be provided, as well as a structural depiction of the single disclosed specie. Failure to provide each of these components will render the reply non-compliant.

Applicant is cautioned that the election of a particular specie of compound of formula (I), wherein the elected specie(s) is/are not adequately supported by the accompanying specification, may raise an issue of new matter under the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

The species of compounds of formula (I) listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Applicant's attention is directed to Yale et al. (see U.S. Patent No. 3,194,733; 1965) to show that compounds of the instantly claimed structure were known in the prior art (see, specifically, col.1, 1.18-37, which discloses a core structure identical to that instantly claimed, wherein R1 is, *inter alia*, hydrogen, halogen, lower alkyl, etc.; A is a straight alkylene chain of 3

carbon atoms; R2, R3, R4 and R5 are each hydrogen; R6 is CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-OR7, wherein R7 is COR8, and R8

Page 3

is a straight or branched chain alkyl of 7-14 carbon atoms) and, thus, this alleged special technical feature

of the presently claimed invention cannot be the unifying feature of the presently claimed subject matter

because it fails to demonstrate a contribution over what was already known in the prior art at the time of

the invention

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect species consistent with the above

instructions to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently

added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to

additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an

allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, Applicant

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. See MPEP § 809.02(a).

The election of species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the

election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed

errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely

traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.144.

Should Applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, Applicant

should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious

variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the Examiner finds one of

the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

Page 4

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to LESLIE A. ROYDS whose telephone number is (571)-272-6096. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00 AM-5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin

H. Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer

Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leslie A. Royds/

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614

March 31, 2009